attorneys on motions to dismiss, answers, and discovery. Plaintiff argues it will also eliminate duplicate hearings on essentially the same issues, as well as duplication of trial proceedings for the two related cases.

Defendants do not oppose consolidation, but they do raise two issues related to the instant motion. First, Defendants note that Plaintiffs in both actions are acting *pro se*. Defendants express concern that one plaintiff will end up acting on behalf of another plaintiff and that consolidation creates a greater risk of unauthorized practice of law in these matters. In addition, Defendants request that the Court not grant leave to Plaintiffs to file a consolidated complaint until after the disposition of their motions to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint in *James Kelley* and any motions to dismiss the First Amended Complaint be filed in *Douglas Kelley*, assuming that the Court grants such motions with leave to amend. The hearing on the motion to dismiss *James Kelley* currently is set for September 7, 2007. Defendants have indicated they intend to file a motion to dismiss *Douglas Kelley* in the near future.

Having considered the papers filed by the parties the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's motion for consolidation. The Court notes that the motion is unopposed, and the Court finds that consolidations will promote judicial economy. The consolidated case hereinafter shall be referenced by the case number currently assigned to the action brought by Plaintiffs James Kelley, et al., C 07-1238 JF (HRL). Additionally, because Defendants have indicated they are prepared to file a motion to dismiss in *Douglas Kelley* in the near future, the Court determines that judicial economy will be better served by hearing the motions to dismiss in one proceeding rather than two as has been requested by the Defendants. As such, the Court sets the following revised schedule for the consolidated actions:

- 1. Plaintiffs shall file their consolidated complaint on or before July 25, 2007;
- 2. Defendants shall answer or otherwise plead on or before August 10, 2007;
- 3. Plaintiffs shall have until August 24, 2007 to file any opposition to a motion to dismiss; and
- 4. Defendants shall have until August 31, 2007 to file replies to Plaintiffs' opposition.

	Case 5:07-cv-02455-JF Document 10 Filed 06/25/2007 Page 3 of 4						
1	The hearing date of September 7, 2007 on the motion to dismiss in the <i>James Kelley</i> case shall						
2	serve as the hearing date for all motions to dismiss in the consolidated actions.						
3							
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.						
5							
6	DATED: June 25, 2007.						
7							
8	JEREMY FOG L						
9	JEREMY FOG L United States District Judge						
10							
11							
12							
13							
14 15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

	Case 5:07-cv-02455-	JF	Document 10	JI	-iled 06/25/2007	Page 4 of 4		
1	This Order has been served upon the following persons:							
2	Darryl P. Rains	drains@mofo.com, dgillis@mofo.com						
3	Ignacio E. Salceda	isalc	eda@wsgr.com,	rlusta	an@wsgr.com			
4	Stephanie Laura Zeller	szelle	er@mofo.com, 1	mbara	nkah@mofo.com			
5	otice will be delivered by other means to:							
6 7	James M Kelley 14390 Douglass Lane Saratoga, CA 95070							
8 9	Miki W. Larsson 14390 Douglass Lane Saratoga, CA 95070							
10 11	Douglas B. Kelley 1887 Saint Andrews Place San Jose, CA 95132							
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28			,					
	Case No. C 07-02455 JF ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO	CONS	4 SOLIDATE					